

First-Order Logic Normal Forms

Abbreviations

We return to the abbreviations used in connection with resolution:

$F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ abbreviates $\neg F_1 \vee F_2$

\top abbreviates $P_1^0 \vee \neg P_1^0$

\perp abbreviates $P_1^0 \wedge \neg P_1^0$

Substitution

- ▶ Substitutions are mappings from variables to terms.

Substitution

- ▶ Substitutions are mappings from variables to terms.
- ▶ By $[t/x]$ we denote the substitution that replaces x by t .

Substitution

- ▶ Substitutions are mappings from variables to terms.
- ▶ By $[t/x]$ we denote the substitution that replaces x by t .
- ▶ The notation $F[t/x]$ (" F with t for x ") denotes the result of replacing all **FREE** occurrences of x in F by t .

Substitution

- ▶ Substitutions are mappings from variables to terms.
- ▶ By $[t/x]$ we denote the substitution that replaces x by t .
- ▶ The notation $F[t/x]$ (“ F with t for x ”) denotes the result of replacing all *free* occurrences of x in F by t .

Example: $(\forall x P(x) \wedge Q(x))[f(y)/x] = \forall x P(x) \wedge Q(f(y))$

Substitution

- ▶ Substitutions are mappings from variables to terms.
- ▶ By $[t/x]$ we denote the substitution that replaces x by t .
- ▶ The notation $F[t/x]$ (“ F with t for x ”) denotes the result of replacing all *free* occurrences of x in F by t .

Example: $(\forall x P(x) \wedge Q(x))[f(y)/x] = \forall x P(x) \wedge Q(f(y))$

- ▶ Similarly for subsitutions in terms:
 $u[t/x]$ is the result of replacing x by t in term u .

Example: $(f(x))[g(x)/x] = f(g(x))$

Variable capture

If a term t of F contains a bound occurrence of a variable, substitution may lead to **variable capture**:

$$(\forall x \, P(x, y))[f(x)/y] = \forall x \, P(x, f(x))$$

Variable capture must be avoided

Substitution lemmas

Lemma

$$\mathcal{A}(u[t/x]) = (\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}(t)/x])(u).$$

Proof by structural induction on u .

Substitution lemmas

Lemma

$$\mathcal{A}(u[t/x]) = (\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}(t)/x])(u).$$

Proof by structural induction on u .

Lemma (Substitution Lemma)

If t contains no variable bound in F then

$$\mathcal{A}(F[t/x]) = (\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}(t)/x])(F).$$

Proof by structural induction on F with the help of the lemma on terms.

Warning

The notation $.[./.]$ is heavily overloaded:

Substitution in syntactic objects

$F[G/A]$ in propositional logic

$F[t/x]$

$u[t/x]$ where u is a term

Function update

$\mathcal{A}[v/A]$ where \mathcal{A} is a propositional assignment

$\mathcal{A}[d/x]$ where \mathcal{A} is a structure and $d \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$

Overall goal

Transform any formula F of length m into a closed formula

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n G \quad \text{where } G \text{ is quantifier-free,}$$

of length $O(m)$ that is equisatisfiable with F .

Rectified Formulas

Definition

A formula is **rectified** if no variable occurs both bound and free and all quantifiers in the formula bind different variables.

Rectified Formulas

Definition

A formula is **rectified** if no variable occurs both bound and free and all quantifiers in the formula bind different variables.

Lemma

Let $F = Qx\ G$ be a formula where $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$.

Let y be a variable that does not occur in G .

Then $F \equiv Qy\ G[y/x]$.

Rectified Formulas

Definition

A formula is **rectified** if no variable occurs both bound and free and all quantifiers in the formula bind different variables.

Lemma

Let $F = Qx\ G$ be a formula where $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$.

Let y be a variable that does not occur in G .

Then $F \equiv Qy\ G[y/x]$.

Lemma

Every formula is equivalent to a rectified formula.

Example

$$\forall x\ P(x, y) \wedge \exists x \exists y\ Q(x, y) \equiv \forall x'\ P(x', y) \wedge \exists x \exists y'\ Q(x, y')$$

Prenex form

Definition

A formula is in **prenex form** if it has the form

$$Q_1 y_1 \dots Q_n y_n F$$

where $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$, $n \geq 0$, and F is quantifier-free.

Prenex form

Theorem

*Every formula is equivalent to a rectified formula in prenex form (a formula in **RPF**).*

Prenex form

Theorem

*Every formula is equivalent to a rectified formula in prenex form (a formula in **RPF**).*

Proof First construct an equivalent rectified formula.

Then pull the quantifiers to the front using the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$\neg \forall x F \equiv \exists x \neg F$$

$$\neg \exists x F \equiv \forall x \neg F$$

$$Qx F \wedge G \equiv Qx (F \wedge G)$$

$$F \wedge Qx G \equiv Qx (F \wedge G)$$

$$Qx F \vee G \equiv Qx (F \vee G)$$

$$F \vee Qx G \equiv Qx (F \vee G)$$

For the last four rules note that the formula is rectified!

Skolem form

The **Skolem form** of a formula F in RPF is the result of applying the following algorithm to F :

while F contains an existential quantifier **do**

Let $F = \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \forall y_n \exists z G$

(the block of universal quantifiers may be empty)

Let f be a **fresh** function symbol of arity n
that does not occur in F .

$F := \forall y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \forall y_n G[f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)/z]$

i.e. remove the outermost existential quantifier in F and
replace every occurrence of z in G by $f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$

Example

$\exists x \forall y \exists z \forall u \exists v P(x, y, z, u, v) \equiv$

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$			

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x		

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$			

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$	x		

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$	x	x	

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$	x	x	
$\neg \exists x C(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x \neg C(x)$			

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$	x	x	
$\neg \exists x C(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x \neg C(x)$			
$\forall x (C(x) \rightarrow S(x)) \rightarrow \forall y (\neg C(y) \rightarrow \neg S(y))$			

Exercise

Which formulas are rectified, in prenex, or Skolem form?

	R	P	S
$\forall x (T(x) \vee C(x) \vee D(x))$	x	x	x
$\exists x \exists y (C(y) \vee B(x, y))$	x	x	
$\neg \exists x C(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x \neg C(x)$			
$\forall x (C(x) \rightarrow S(x)) \rightarrow \forall y (\neg C(y) \rightarrow \neg S(y))$	x		

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

1. $F' \models F$, that is, every model of F' is a model of F .

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

1. $F' \models F$, that is, every model of F' is a model of F .

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F' and $\mathcal{A}(F') = 1$.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

1. $F' \models F$, that is, every model of F' is a model of F .

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F' and $\mathcal{A}(F') = 1$.

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}[u/y](G[f(y)/z]) = 1$

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

1. $F' \models F$, that is, every model of F' is a model of F .

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F' and $\mathcal{A}(F') = 1$.

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}[u/y](G[f(y)/z]) = 1$

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}[u/y][f^{\mathcal{A}}(u)/z](G) = 1$

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

1. $F' \models F$, that is, every model of F' is a model of F .

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F' and $\mathcal{A}(F') = 1$.

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}[u/y](G[f(y)/z]) = 1$

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}[u/y][f^{\mathcal{A}}(u)/z](G) = 1$

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ there is a $v \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ s.t. $\mathcal{A}[u/y][v/z](G) = 1$

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A}(\exists z G) = 1$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\forall y \exists z G) = 1$

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

2. If F has a model, so does F'

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F and $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$.

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

2. If F has a model, so does F'

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F and $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$.

W.l.o.g. \mathcal{A} does not define f (because f is new).

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

2. If F has a model, so does F'

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F and $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$.

W.l.o.g. \mathcal{A} does not define f (because f is new).

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ there is $v \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ s.t. $\mathcal{A}[u/y][v/z](G) = 1$ (*)

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

2. If F has a model, so does F'

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F and $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$.

W.l.o.g. \mathcal{A} does not define f (because f is new).

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ there is $v \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ s.t. $\mathcal{A}[u/y][v/z](G) = 1$ (*)

Let \mathcal{A}' be \mathcal{A} extended with a definition of f : $f^{\mathcal{A}'}(u) := v$, where v is chosen as in (*).

Skolem form

Theorem

A formula in RPF and its Skolem form are equisatisfiable.

Proof Show: Every iteration produces an equisatisfiable formula.
Let (for simplicity) $F = \forall y \exists z G$ and $F' = \forall y G[f(y)/z]$.

2. If F has a model, so does F'

Assume \mathcal{A} is suitable for F and $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$.

W.l.o.g. \mathcal{A} does not define f (because f is new).

\Rightarrow for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ there is $v \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ s.t. $\mathcal{A}[u/y][v/z](G) = 1$ (*)

Let \mathcal{A}' be \mathcal{A} extended with a definition of f : $f^{\mathcal{A}'}(u) := v$, where v is chosen as in (*).

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(F') = 1$ because for all $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}'[u/y](G[f(y)/z]) &= \mathcal{A}'[u/y][f^{\mathcal{A}'}[u/y](u)/z](G) && \text{(subs. lemma)} \\ &= \mathcal{A}'[u/y][f^{\mathcal{A}'}(u)/z](G) && \text{(def. of } \mathcal{A}') \\ &= \mathcal{A}'[u/y][v/z](G) = 1 && \text{(def. of } \mathcal{A}' \text{ and } (*))\end{aligned}$$

Summary: conversion to Skolem form

Input: a formula F

Output: a rectified, closed formula in Skolem form $\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_k G$,
where G is quantifier-free, that is equisatisfiable with F .

Summary: conversion to Skolem form

Input: a formula F

Output: a rectified, closed formula in Skolem form $\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_k G$,
where G is quantifier-free, that is equisatisfiable with F .

1. Rectify F by systematic renaming of bound variables.
The result is a formula F_1 equivalent to F .

Summary: conversion to Skolem form

Input: a formula F

Output: a rectified, closed formula in Skolem form $\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_k G$,
where G is quantifier-free, that is equisatisfiable with F .

1. Rectify F by systematic renaming of bound variables.
The result is a formula F_1 equivalent to F .
2. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be the variables occurring free in F_1 .
Produce the formula $F_2 = \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \dots \exists y_n F_1$.
 F_2 is equisatisfiable with F_1 , rectified and closed.

Summary: conversion to Skolem form

Input: a formula F

Output: a rectified, closed formula in Skolem form $\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_k G$,
where G is quantifier-free, that is equisatisfiable with F .

1. Rectify F by systematic renaming of bound variables.
The result is a formula F_1 equivalent to F .
2. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be the variables occurring free in F_1 .
Produce the formula $F_2 = \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \dots \exists y_n F_1$.
 F_2 is equisatisfiable with F_1 , rectified and closed.
3. Produce a formula F_3 in RPF equivalent to F_2 .

Summary: conversion to Skolem form

Input: a formula F

Output: a rectified, closed formula in Skolem form $\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_k G$,
where G is quantifier-free, that is equisatisfiable with F .

1. Rectify F by systematic renaming of bound variables.
The result is a formula F_1 equivalent to F .
2. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be the variables occurring free in F_1 .
Produce the formula $F_2 = \exists y_1 \exists y_2 \dots \exists y_n F_1$.
 F_2 is equisatisfiable with F_1 , rectified and closed.
3. Produce a formula F_3 in RPF equivalent to F_2 .
4. Eliminate the existential quantifiers in F_3
by transforming F_3 into its Skolem form F_4 .
The formula F_4 is equisatisfiable with F_3 .

Exercise

Convert into Skolem form $F = \forall x P(y, f(x, y)) \vee \neg \forall y Q(g(x), y)$