

Propositional Logic

Normal Forms

Abbreviations

Until further notice:

$F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ abbreviates $\neg F_1 \vee F_2$

$F_1 \leftrightarrow F_2$ abbreviates $(F_1 \wedge F_2) \vee (\neg F_1 \wedge \neg F_2)$

\top abbreviates $A_1 \vee \neg A_1$

\perp abbreviates $A_1 \wedge \neg A_1$

Literals

Definition

A **literal** is an atom or the negation of an atom.
In the former case the literal is **positive**,
in the latter case it is **negative**.

Negation Normal Form (NNF)

Definition

A formula is in **negation normal form (NNF)** if negation (\neg) occurs only directly in front of atoms.

Example

In NNF: $\neg A \wedge \neg B$

Not in NNF: $\neg(A \vee B)$

Transformation into NNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in NNF by pushing \neg inwards. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$\neg\neg F \equiv F$$

$$\neg(F \wedge G) \equiv (\neg F \vee \neg G)$$

$$\neg(F \vee G) \equiv (\neg F \wedge \neg G)$$

Example

$$(\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \wedge C) \equiv ((\neg A \vee \neg\neg B) \wedge C) \equiv ((\neg A \vee B) \wedge C)$$

(“ $F \equiv G \equiv H$ ” is an abbreviation for “ $F \equiv G$ and $G \equiv H$ ”)

Does this process always terminate? Is the result unique?

Transformation into NNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in NNF by pushing \neg inwards. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg\neg F &\equiv F \\ \neg(F \wedge G) &\equiv (\neg F \vee \neg G) \\ \neg(F \vee G) &\equiv (\neg F \wedge \neg G)\end{aligned}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned}(\neg(A \wedge \neg B) \wedge C) &\equiv ((\neg A \vee \neg\neg B) \wedge C) \equiv ((\neg A \vee B) \wedge C) \\ (\text{"}F \equiv G \equiv H\text{" is an abbreviation for "}F \equiv G \text{ and } G \equiv H\text{"})\end{aligned}$$

Does this process always terminate? Is the result unique?

CNF and DNF

Definition

A formula F is in **conjunctive normal form (CNF)** if it is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals:

$$F = \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right) \right),$$

where $L_{i,j} \in \{A_1, A_2, \dots\} \cup \{\neg A_1, \neg A_2, \dots\}$

CNF and DNF

Definition

A formula F is in **conjunctive normal form (CNF)** if it is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals:

$$F = \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right) \right),$$

where $L_{i,j} \in \{A_1, A_2, \dots\} \cup \{\neg A_1, \neg A_2, \dots\}$

Definition

A formula F is in **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** if it is a disjunction of conjunctions of literals:

$$F = \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j} \right) \right),$$

where $L_{i,j} \in \{A_1, A_2, \dots\} \cup \{\neg A_1, \neg A_2, \dots\}$

Transformation into CNF and DNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF or DNF in two steps:

1. Transform the initial formula into its NNF
2. Transform the NNF into CNF or DNF:
 - Transformation into CNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \vee (G \wedge H)) \equiv ((F \vee G) \wedge (F \vee H))$$

$$((F \wedge G) \vee H) \equiv ((F \vee H) \wedge (G \vee H))$$

- Transformation into DNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \wedge (G \vee H)) \equiv ((F \wedge G) \vee (F \wedge H))$$

$$((F \vee G) \wedge H) \equiv ((F \wedge H) \vee (G \wedge H))$$

Transformation into CNF and DNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF or DNF in two steps:

1. Transform the initial formula into its NNF
2. Transform the NNF into CNF or DNF:
 - Transformation into CNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \vee (G \wedge H)) \equiv ((F \vee G) \wedge (F \vee H))$$

$$((F \wedge G) \vee H) \equiv ((F \vee H) \wedge (G \vee H))$$

- Transformation into DNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \wedge (G \vee H)) \equiv ((F \wedge G) \vee (F \wedge H))$$

$$((F \vee G) \wedge H) \equiv ((F \wedge H) \vee (G \wedge H))$$

Termination

Why does the transformation into NNF and CNF terminate?

Challenge Question: Find a weight function $w :: \text{formula} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $w(l.h.s.) > w(r.h.s.)$ for the equivalences

$$\neg\neg F \equiv F$$

$$\neg(F \wedge G) \equiv (\neg F \vee \neg G)$$

$$\neg(F \vee G) \equiv (\neg F \wedge \neg G)$$

$$(F \vee (G \wedge H)) \equiv ((F \vee G) \wedge (F \vee H))$$

$$((F \wedge G) \vee H) \equiv ((F \vee H) \wedge (G \vee H))$$

Define w recursively:

$$w(A_i) = \dots$$

$$w(\neg F) = \dots w(F) \dots$$

$$w(F \wedge G) = \dots w(F) \dots w(G) \dots$$

$$w(F \vee G) = \dots w(F) \dots w(G) \dots$$

Complexity considerations

The CNF and DNF of a formula of size n can have size 2^n

Can we do better? Yes, if we do not insist on \equiv .

Definition

Two formulas F and G are **equisatisfiable** if F is satisfiable iff G is satisfiable.

Theorem

*For every formula F of size n
there is an equisatisfiable CNF formula G of size $O(n)$.*