## Automata and Formal Languages - Exercise Sheet 10

## Exercise 10.1

Consider automata with the set of states $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ and the acceptance conditions $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$ given by the following table:

|  | $\left\{q_{0}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\alpha_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\alpha_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

(a) For each of the conditions determine if they are Büchi, co-Büchi, Rabin, Muller.
(b) Can it happen that an accepting condition is neither Büchi nor co-Büchi nor Rabin nor Muller? If yes, give an example of such a condition.
(c) Consider the following semi-automaton and acceptance conditions $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$. What are the languages accepted by the obtained automata?


## Exercise 10.2

Let language $L=\left\{w \in\{a, b\}^{\omega}: w\right.$ contains finitely many $\left.a\right\}$
(a) Give a deterministic Rabin automaton for $L$.
(b) Give an NBA for $L$ and try to "determinize" it by using the NFA to DFA powerset construction. What is the language accepted by the resulting DBA?
(c) What $\omega$-language is accepted by the following Muller automaton with acceptance condition $\left\{\left\{q_{0}\right\},\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\}\right\}$ ? And with acceptance condition $\left\{\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\},\left\{q_{2}, q_{0}\right\}\right\}$ ?


## Exercise 10.3

Let $L_{1}=(a b)^{\omega}$ and let $L_{2}$ be the language of all words over $\{a, b\}$ containing infinitely many $a$ and infinitely many $b$.
(a) Exhibit three different DBAs with three states recognizing $L_{1}$.
(b) Exhibit six different DBAs with three states recognizing $L_{2}$.
(c) Show that no DBA with at most two states recognizes $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$.

## Exercise 10.4

(a) Show that for every NCA there is an equivalent NBA.
(b) For the following NCA give an equivalent NBA, using the construction from (a):


## Exercise 10.5

Give a procedure that translates non-deterministic Rabin automata to non-deterministic Büchi automata.

## Solution 10.1

1. $\alpha_{1}$ is a Büchi condition with $F=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$
$\alpha_{2}$ is a Rabin condition with the set of Rabin pairs $\left\{\left\langle\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{2}\right\}\right\rangle\right\}$
$\alpha_{3}$ is a co-Büchi condition with $F=\left\{q_{2}\right\}$
$\alpha_{4}$ is a Muller condition with the Muller set $\left\{\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}\right\}$
2. No. If a condition is neither Büchi nor co-Büchi nor Rabin, then it must be Muller. A Muller condition is an arbitrary condition.
3. $L_{1}$ is defined by the expression $a^{\omega}$
$L_{2}$ is defined by $a^{*}\left(b c^{*}\right)^{*} b^{\omega}$
$L_{3}$ is the union of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$
$L_{4}$ is the empty set, as we cannot have a run in which all 3 states are visited infinitely often.

## Solution 10.2

(a) The following DRA, with acceptance condition $\left\{\left\langle\left\{q_{1}\right\},\left\{q_{0}\right\}\right\rangle\right\}$, i.e., a run is accepting iff it visits $q_{1}$ infinitely often and $q_{0}$ finitely often, recognizes $L$ :

(b) This NBA accepts $L$ :


The powerset construction yields the DBA below. It recognizes the language $\{w: w$ contains infinitely many $\mathbf{b}\}$, which is different from $(a+b)^{*} b^{\omega}$ :

(c) With the first acceptance condition the language is $\Sigma^{*}\left(a^{\omega}+b^{\omega}+c^{\omega}\right)$. With the second, the automaton does not accept any word. Indeed, every run that visits both $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ infinitely often must also visit $q_{2}$ infinitely often, and the same holds for $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, and for $q_{2}$ and $q_{0}$.

## Solution 10.3

(a) We obtain three DBAs for $L_{1}$ from the one below by making either $q_{0}, q_{1}$ or both accepting:

(b) Here are two different DBAs for $L_{2}$. We obtain two further DBAs from each of these automata by making either $q_{1}$ or $q_{2}$ the initial state.

(c) A DBA with a single state either accepts the empty language or $(a+b)^{\omega}$ and so no single-state DBA can accept $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$. Suppose $B$ is a two-state DBA with states $p$ and $q$ which accepts the language $L_{1}$. Let $p$ be the initial state of $B$.
If $q$ is not reachable from $p$ by means of any transition, then the language accepted by $B$ is either the empty language or $(a+b)^{\omega}$. Hence, we can assume that either $p \xrightarrow{a} q$ or $p \xrightarrow{b} q$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $p \xrightarrow{a} q$. Notice that either $q \xrightarrow{a} q$ or $q \xrightarrow{a} p$. In either case, it is clear that if $q$ is a final state then $a^{\omega}$ will be accepted by $B$, leading to a contradiction as $a^{\omega} \notin L_{1}$. Hence, $q$ is not a final state and so $p$ must be a final state.
Notice that if $p \xrightarrow{b} p$ then $b^{\omega}$ will be accepted by $B$, once again leading to a contradiction. Hence we have $p \xrightarrow{a} q$ and $p \xrightarrow{b} q$. Because of this and because of the fact that $p$ is the only final state, it must be the case that either $q \xrightarrow{a} p$ or $q \xrightarrow{b} p$. In the former case, $a^{\omega}$ is accepted by $B$ and in the latter case $b^{\omega}$ is accepted by $B$, both leading to a contradiction.
It follows that no two-state DBA can accept $L_{1}$. If we replace $L_{1}$ with $L_{2}$ in the above argument, then we can also show that no two-state DBA can accept $L_{2}$ as well.

## Solution 10.4

(a) Let $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_{0}, F\right)$ be an NCA. We construct an NBA $B$ which is equivalent to $A$. Observe that the co-Büchi accepting condition $\inf (\rho) \cap F=\emptyset$ is equivalent to $\inf (\rho) \subseteq Q \backslash F$. This condition holds iff $\rho$ has an infinite suffix that only visits states of $Q \backslash F$. We design $B$ in two stages. In the first one, we take two copies of $A$, that we call $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$, and put them side by side; $A_{0}$ is a full copy, containing all states and transitions of $A$, and $A_{1}$ is a partial copy, containing only the states of $Q \backslash F$ and the transitions between these states. We write $[q, 0]$ to denote the copy of a state $q \in Q$ in $A_{0}$, and $[q, 1]$ for the copy of a state $q \in Q \backslash F$ in $A_{1}$. In the second stage, we add some transitions that "jump" from $A_{0}$ to $A_{1}$ : for every transition $[q, 0] \xrightarrow{a}\left[q^{\prime}, 0\right]$ of $A_{0}$ such that $q^{\prime} \in Q \backslash F$, we add a transition $[q, 0] \xrightarrow{a}\left[q^{\prime}, 1\right]$ that "jumps" to $\left[q^{\prime}, 1\right]$, the "twin state" of $\left[q^{\prime}, 0\right]$ in $A_{1}$. Note that $[q, 0] \xrightarrow{a}\left[q^{\prime}, 1\right]$ does not replace $[q, 0] \xrightarrow{a}\left[q^{\prime}, 0\right]$, it is an additional transition. As initial states of $B$, we choose the copy of $Q_{0}$ in $A_{0}$, i.e., $\left\{[q, 0]: q \in Q_{0}\right\}$, and as accepting states all the states of $A_{1}$, i.e., $\{[q, 1]: q \in Q \backslash F\}$.
It remains to show that $L_{\omega}(A)=L_{\omega}(B)$.
$\subseteq)$ Let $w \in L_{\omega}(A)$. There is a run $\rho$ of $A$ on word $w$ such that $\inf \rho \cap F=\emptyset$. It follows that $\rho=\rho_{0} \rho_{1}$, where $\rho_{0}$ is a finite prefix of $\rho$, and $\rho_{1}$ is an infinite suffix that only contains states of $Q \backslash F$. Let $\rho^{\prime}$ be the run of $B$ on $w$ that simulates $\rho_{0}$ on $A_{0}$, and then "jumps" to $A_{1}$ and simulates $\rho_{1}$ in $A_{1}$. Notice that $\rho^{\prime}$ exists because $\rho_{1}$ only visits states of $Q \backslash F$. Since all states of $A_{1}$ are accepting, $\rho^{\prime}$ is an accepting run of the NBA $B$, and so $w \in L_{\omega}(B)$.
卫) Let $w \in L_{\omega}(B)$. There is an accepting run $\rho$ of $B$ on word $w$. Thus, $\rho$ visits states of $A_{1}$ infinitely often. Since a run of $B$ that enters $A_{1}$ can never return to $A_{0}$ (there are no "back-jumps" from $A_{1}$ to $A_{0}$, $\rho$ has an infinite suffix $\rho_{1}$ that only visits states of $A_{1}$, i.e., states $[q, 1]$ such that $q \in Q \backslash F$. Let $\rho^{\prime}$ be the result of replacing $[q, 0]$ and $[q, 1]$ by $q$ everywhere in $\rho$. Clearly, $\rho^{\prime}$ is a run of $A$ on $w$ that only visits $F$ finitely often. Thus, $\rho^{\prime}$ is an accepting run of $A$, and $w \in L_{\omega}(A)$.
(b) The NCA below on the left is transformed into the NBA on the right:


## Solution 10.5

Given a Rabin automaton $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, Q_{0}, \delta,\left\{\left\langle F_{0}, G_{0}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle F_{m-1}, G_{m-1}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$, it follows easily that $L_{\omega}(A)=$ $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} L_{\omega}\left(A_{i}\right)$ where each $A_{i}=\left(Q, \Sigma, Q_{0}, \delta,\left\{\left\langle F_{i}, G_{i}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$. So it suffices to translate each $A_{i}$ into an NBA $B_{i}$ and take the union of the $B_{i}$ 's. For this, we use the same idea that we used for converting an NCA into an NBA (as shown in the previous exercise). To construct $B_{i}$, we take two copies of $A_{i}$, say $A_{i}^{0}$ and $A_{i}^{1}$, where $A_{i}^{0}$ is a full copy of $A_{i}$ and $A_{i}^{1}$ is a partial copy containing only the states of $Q \backslash G_{i}$ and the transitions between these states. We let $[q, i]$ denote the $i^{\text {th }}$ copy of the state $q$ and for every transition $q \xrightarrow{a} q^{\prime}$ in $A_{i}$ with $q^{\prime} \in Q \backslash G_{i}$, we add a transition $[q, 0] \xrightarrow{a}\left[q^{\prime}, 1\right]$ to $B_{i}$. We set the initial states to be $\left\{[q, 0], q \in Q_{0}\right\}$ and we set the final states to be $\left\{[q, 1]: q \in F_{i}\right\}$. Similar to the last exercise of the previous sheet, we can show that $B_{i}$ accepts $L_{\omega}\left(A_{i}\right)$.

